IN THE CONTA INSORITIONS

a figuration Cambridge 1.

of Phrasuthingupta".⁶ But the objection of Strear is not valid, as in the leased of No. 49 and 50 Srivatsadovi and not Conductoria mentioned as the mother of Narasiahagupta. The real difference is created by interprious No. 17 and 33

APPENDIX-III

EXPLANATION OF THE PASSAGE "PAIŞŢAPURAKA-MAHENDRAGIRI-KAUŢŢŪRAKA-SVĀMIDATTA"

This expression occurs in L. 19 of the Allahabad Pillar Inscription of Samudragupta. The compound expression has been analysed in different ways by various scholars.

Fleet splits it up as :

Paiştapuraka--Mahendragiri-Kauţţūraka--Svāmidatta¹ and translates it as Mahendra of Piştapura, Svāmidatta of Koţţūra on the hill.²

The first inclination of Fleet³ is to analyse the expression thus: 'Paiştapuraka-Mahendragiri-Kautţūraka-Svāmidatta' and to translate it as 'Mahendragiri of Piştapura, and Svāmidatta of Kotţūra', but he does not stick to it finding difficulty in accepting giri or gīr as suitable termination for a king's name, thinking it only to be used as a religious title.⁴

G. Ramdas⁵ takes the whole phrase to be one and translates it as 'Svāmidatta, who had his seat at Piṣṭapura and at Koṭṭūra near Mahendragiri'. This means that Svāmidatta was the king of both the places. Ramdas supports it by the fact that in inscriptions we often find the king of Piṣṭapura to have been the king of Kalinga also in which Koṭṭūra is situated. He also does not accept the name Mahendragiri as of a king, it being unsupported by history or inscriptions. Bhau Daji⁶ gives another rendering 'Svāmidatta of Piṣṭapura, Mahendragiri, and Koṭṭūra'. But the suggestions of Bhau Daji and G. Ramdas are untenable, because in that case the reading in the text, would have been 'Māhendragirika' in place of Mahendragiri.

As regards the objection that the termination giri or gīr is used only for Gosāvīs and not for kīngs, even in ancient India we find people bearing such names as Himādri, Hemādri and