king Kumāragupta is mentioned as the lord of the earth. In No. 32 it has been named as Amarabhūdhara. Its other names are Meru, Karņikācala, Ratnasānu, Svargiri, Svargigiri and Kāñcanagiri. 92

Sumeru is identified with Rudra Himālaya in Garhwal, where the river Gangā has its source, it is near Badarikāśrama.93 According to the Matsya Purana94 the Sumeru Parvata is bounded on the north by Uttara-Kuru, on the South by Bhāratavarsa, on the west by Ketumāla and on the east by Bhadrāśvavarsa.95 It is also mentioned in the Padmapurāna.96 and the Kālikāpurāņa. 97 According to the Kālikāpurāņa Śiva saw the summit of it. We also learn from this text that the Jambu river flows from this mountain.98 We also find the mention of the Meru in the Sisupālavadha of Māgha. It was on account of the eminence of Sumeru among the mountains that for praising kings they were described as 'Meru' among kings.99 This metaphor may have been applied after the contention of the Puranas that the earth is supposed to be like lotus, with four Mahādvīpas as its four petals and mount Meru as its pericarp.100

## 4. $\bar{U}rjayat$ (No. 14, L. 16):

The poet here draws a picture: the lake Sudarsana looked like the sea<sup>101</sup> and the rivers Palāśinī, (Suvarnasikatā), etc., had joined it has if the mountain Urjayat had stretched his hand with flowers (growing on the banks of the trees and thus falling in the rivers) for sending his daughters to their worthy husband. 102 Since the rivers emerged out from the mountain Raivataka, it may be called their father as described in verse 28, but to avoid repetition the poet uses in verse 29 a synonym of its name viz., the Urjayat and while making it the symbal of father represents the sea as the husband of the rivers. 103 Thus the mountains Urjayat and the Raivataka are one and the same. We also get support for our suggestion from the fact that whereas the Junagarh Rock Inscription of Rudradaman describes the emergence of the rivers Palāśinī, Suvarnsikatā etc., from the mountain Ūrjayat104 in Inscription No. 14 the name of Raivataka is used in the same context. 105 Fleet 106 and Sircar<sup>107</sup> are wrong in describing Raivataka as a hill different from Urjayat or Girnar situated opposite to it. The